

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
17 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

18 SVF II AGGREGATOR (DE) LLC, and  
19 SB VISION FUND II-2 L.P.,

20 Plaintiffs,

21 vs.

22 ABRAHAM SHAFI, YASSIN ANISS,  
23 NOAH SHAFI, SHEHAB AMIN, ALIA  
24 SHAFI, and JACOB SHAFI

25 Defendants.

Case No.: 4:23-cv-03834-YGR

**STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~  
ORDER SETTING PAGE LIMIT  
FOR DEFENDANT ABRAHAM  
SHAFI'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF  
HIS MOTION TO DISMISS THE  
COMPLAINT**

Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

1 WHEREAS, on July 31, 2023, Plaintiffs SVF II Aggregator (DE) LLC and SB Vision Fund  
2 II-2 L.P. filed a Complaint (ECF No. 1) against Defendants Abraham Shafi, Yassin Aniss, Noah  
3 Shafi, Shehab Amin, Alia Shafi, and Jacob Shafi;

4 WHEREAS, on December 4, 2023, Alia Shafi (ECF No. 45), Shehab Amin, Yassin Aniss,  
5 Jacob Shafi, Noah Shafi (ECF No. 46), and Abraham Shafi (ECF No. 47) moved to dismiss the  
6 Complaint;

7 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs' responses to Defendants' motions to dismiss were due by February  
8 12, 2023 (ECF No. 42);

9 WHEREAS, were Plaintiffs to file a brief in response to each of the three motions to dismiss,  
10 Plaintiffs would have had a total of 75 pages of briefing;

11 WHEREAS, the parties agreed, and the Court ordered (ECF No. 53), that in the interests of  
12 judicial economy, conservation of time and resources, and orderly management of this action,  
13 Plaintiffs could file one omnibus opposition to Defendants' three motions to dismiss limited to 50  
14 pages in length;

15 WHEREAS, a majority of the argument in Plaintiffs' omnibus opposition (ECF No. 54)  
16 responded to Abraham Shafi's motion to dismiss;

17 WHEREAS, in the interests of justice and to properly address the arguments in Plaintiffs'  
18 opposition brief, Abraham Shafi seeks an enlargement of the page limit for his reply brief from 15  
19 to 20 pages;

20 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs do not oppose this enlargement of the page limit for Abraham Shafi's  
21 reply brief;

22 NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the  
23 undersigned parties, through their undersigned counsel, that:

24 1. Defendant Abraham Shafi's reply brief in support of his motion to dismiss Plaintiffs'  
25 Complaint will be limited to 20 pages in length.

26 **IT IS SO STIPULATED**  
27  
28

1 Dated: March 15, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

2  
3 **SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP**

**SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P**

4 By: /s/ Martin Erreich  
Brendan P. Cullen (SBN 194157)  
5 550 Hamilton Avenue  
Palo Alto, CA 94301-2010  
6 cullenb@sullcrom.com

By: /s/ Kemper Diehl  
Stephen Shackelford, Jr (*pro hac vice*)  
Shawn Rabin (*pro hac vice*)  
Eve Levin (*pro hac vice*)  
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32<sup>nd</sup> Fl.  
New York, NY 10019  
sshackelford@susmangodfrey.com  
srabin@susmangodfrey.com  
elevin@susmangodfrey.com

7 Alexander J. Willscher (*pro hac vice*)  
8 Martin Erreich (*pro hac vice*)  
125 Broad Street  
9 New York, NY 10004-2498  
awillscher@sullcrom.com  
10 merreich@sullcrom.com

Kemper Diehl (*pro hac vice*)  
401 Union Street, Suite 3000  
Seattle, WA 98101  
kdiehl@susmangodfrey.com

11 *Counsel for Plaintiffs*  
12 *SVF Aggregator (DE) LLC and*  
13 *SoftBank Vision Fund II-2 L.P.*

Kalpana Srinivasan (CA Bar No. 237460)  
1900 Avenue of the Starts, Suite 1400  
Los Angeles, California 90067  
ksrinivasan@susmangodfrey.com

*Counsel for Defendant*  
16 *Abraham Shafi*

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 18, 2024



HON. YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE